



PUBLIC RI REPORT

2021 PILOT

Infranode

Generated 2023-07-24

# About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework helps to build a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment activities. **Public RI Reports** provide accountability and transparency on signatories' responsible investment activities and support dialogue within signatories' organisations, as well as with their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders.

This **Public RI Report** is an export of the signatory's responses to the PRI Reporting Framework during the 2021 reporting period. It includes the signatory's responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators that the signatory has agreed to make public.

The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offered a multiple-choice response, all options that were available to select from are included for context. While presenting the information verbatim results in lengthy reports, the approach is informed by signatory feedback that signatories prefer that the PRI does not summarise the information.

## Context

In consultation with signatories, between 2018 and 2020 the PRI extensively reviewed the Reporting and Assessment processes and set the ambitious objective of launching in 2021 a completely new investor Reporting Framework, together with a new reporting tool.

We ran the new investor Reporting and Assessment process as a pilot in its first year, and such process included providing additional opportunities for signatories to provide feedback on the Reporting Framework, the online reporting tool and the resulting reports. The feedback from this pilot phase has been, and is continuing to be analysed, in order to identify any improvements that can be included in future reporting cycles.

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories in the 2021 reporting cycle. This information has not been audited by the PRI or any other party acting on its behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented.

The PRI has taken reasonable action to ensure that data submitted by signatories in the reporting tool is reflected in their official PRI reports accurately. However, it is possible that small data inaccuracies and/or gaps remain, and the PRI shall not be responsible or liable for such inaccuracies and gaps.

# Table of Contents

Module/Indicator	Page
Senior Leadership Statement (SLS)	4
Organisational Overview (OO)	8
Investment and Stewardship Policy (ISP)	19
Infrastructure (INF)	41

# Senior Leadership Statement (SLS)

## Senior leadership statement

### Our commitment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1 S1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Our commitment	GENERAL

- Why does your organisation engage in responsible investment?
- What is your organisation’s overall approach to responsible investment?
- What are the main differences between your organisation’s approach to responsible investment in its ESG practice and in other practices, across asset classes?

As investment managers, we have a duty to act in the best long-term interests of our beneficiaries. In this fiduciary role, we believe that Environmental, Social, and corporate Governance (ESG) issues can affect the performance of investment portfolios (to varying degrees across companies, sectors, regions, asset classes and through time). We also recognize that applying these principles shall better align investors with broader objectives of society.

With a vision to be a leading, long-term sustainable infrastructure investment platform in the Nordics, Infranode’s approach constitutes an efficient way for institutional investors to access essential infrastructure assets with attractive long-term returns while offering the public sector a sustainable and reliable business partner.

In order to execute on this journey, Infranode believes that a responsible investment (RI) approach that promotes the integration of ESG aspects is a crucial ingredient to capitalize on specific opportunities, as well as handling specific risks. Simply put, Infranode believes that a high standard of responsible business conduct promoting the integration of ESG aspects makes good business sense and is very likely to create sustainable value over the long term. Conversely, poor management of ESG aspects will pose a risk to the value and reputation of businesses and assets.

For Infranode, a responsible approach to ESG integration is to invest in infrastructure assets and projects that promote a sustainable and efficient functioning of the environment, economies, communities and people that they serve. For example, this means infrastructure that has a low, zero or negative carbon footprint (“climate friendly”); is able to absorb disturbances, for example climate change or a financial crisis, and still retain its basic function and structural capacity (“resilient”); provides cross-system complementarity (“connected”); and brings improved health, safety, education and social inclusiveness (“inclusive”).

Infranode’s day-to-day management of ESG matters and how it is integrated across the company is the responsibility of the Management Team. The Management Team appoints a Head of Sustainability and appoints members to an ESG Committee to assist with the management of ESG policy and its integration within Infranode and investee portfolio companies. It is the responsibility of each team member and the Investment Committee to consider ESG aspects in the investments with support and guidance from the ESG committee.

Infranode has an equally strong focus on sustainable infrastructure investments in both of our funds, and our approach to responsible investment and promotion of ESG practices are fully integrated across business functions.

## Annual overview

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1 S2	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Annual overview	GENERAL

- o Discuss your organisation’s progress during the reporting year on the responsible investment issue you consider most relevant or material to your organisation or its assets.
- o Reflect on your performance with respect to your organisation’s responsible investment objectives and targets during the reporting year. This might involve e.g. outlining your single most important achievement, or describing your general progress, on topics such as the following:
  - o refinement of ESG analysis and incorporation
  - o stewardship activities with investees and/or with policy makers
  - o collaborative engagements
  - o attainment of responsible investment certifications and/or awards

Despite the serious impact that the covid-19 pandemic has had on our society, and the challenges it has brought to us as an investment organization during 2020, we have been able to continue our journey by further developing how we assess and manage investments from an ESG perspective. This involves refinement of our internal due diligence tool to better capture the current ESG status of an investment while also assessing future plans and capabilities for managing ESG aspects such as resilience of physical assets, climate change mitigation, health and safety practices and more.

Infranode’s responsible investment activities during 2020 includes the investment into Vantaan Energia Keski-Uusimaa (“VEKU”) that produces and provides heating for the residents of Järvenpää and Tuusula, two regional urban centres located just c. 30 minutes north from Helsinki. The company has an environmentally sustainable production profile, running on bio- and waste-based fuels, producing c. 340 GWh of heat and c. 90 GWh of electricity annually. VEKU is co-owned with Vantaan Energia, one of Finland’s largest municipally owned energy companies who also operates the company, and Keva. This setup brings additional credibility to Infranode’s investment strategy and the concept of municipal partnerships.

Our portfolio company Alight II completed several solar investments in 2020 including both roof-top and solar park assets back by long-term PPAs and is now contributing with c. 15 GWh of renewable energy generation on an annual basis. Additional investments were approved during 2020 and will be completed during 2021 with an estimated total renewable energy generation of close to 36GWh when the portfolio is fully built.

We have also during the year entered into a framework agreement with Port of Esbjerg to invest up to one billion Danish kroner into new port infrastructure facilities for the wind turbine industry in the port. The project could potentially create thousands of “green” jobs in Esbjerg and the rest of Denmark.

Following the onboarding of our Head of Asset Management, we have continued to improve how we actively work to enhance value creation across our portfolios. From an ESG perspective this has, among other things, resulted in that we have had a number of our companies conducting the GRESB assessment in order to support standardization and to streamline the process from investment to long-term asset management. The GRESB assessment process is also helping us and management of the portfolio companies to better understand what material ESG aspects that are relevant for each specific company.

Finally, we are closely monitoring the development of key regulatory frameworks such as the Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (SFDR) and the EU Taxonomy to both understand (i) what is expected from us as a financial market participant but maybe even more (ii) how we can utilize these new frameworks of standardization to assess and manage investments more intelligently and become even more attractive for conscious investors.

## Next steps

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1 S3	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Next steps	GENERAL

What specific steps has your organisation outlined to advance your commitment to responsible investment in the next two years?

Further improve our investment assessment practices to also include alignment with the EU taxonomy and requirements under the SFDR as this will help us and our LPs understand alignment with international guidelines and agreements such as the Paris Agreement. Collaborate with LPs, co-shareholders, and management to further develop ESG plans for each of our portfolio companies with relevant KPIs both on asset level as well as for entire fund portfolios. To impact our business value chain as an investment manager we will during 2021 include stricter requirements on advisers and suppliers for new engagements related to the provision of policy documents stating commitment to internationally recognized principles covering ESG aspects.

## Endorsement

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1 S4	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Endorsement	GENERAL

The Senior Leadership Statement has been prepared and/or reviewed by the undersigned and reflects our organisation-wide commitment and approach to responsible investment.

Name	.Christian Doglia
Position	Partner and CEO
Organisation's name	Infranode

● This endorsement is for the Senior Leadership Statement only and is not an endorsement of the information reported by Infranode in the various modules of the Reporting Framework. The Senior Leadership Statement is simply provided as a general overview of Infranode's responsible investment approach. The Senior Leadership Statement does not constitute advice and should not be relied upon as such, and is not a substitute for the skill, judgement and experience of any third parties, their management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions.

# Organisational Overview (OO)

## Organisational information

### Categorisation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 1	CORE	Signatory category	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Categorisation	GENERAL

Select the type that best describes your organisation or the services you provide.

(O) Fund management

(1) This is our only (or primary) type

### Subsidiary information

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 2	CORE	N/A	OO 2.1	PUBLIC	Subsidiary information	GENERAL

Does your organisation have subsidiaries that are also PRI signatories in their own right?

(A) Yes

(B) No

## Reporting year

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 3	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Reporting year	GENERAL

Indicate the year-end date for your reporting year.

	Month	Day	Year
Reporting year end date:	December	31	2020

## Assets under management

### All asset classes

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 4	CORE	OO 4.1, OO 4.2	N/A	PUBLIC	All asset classes	GENERAL

What were your total assets under management (AUM) at the end of the indicated reporting year? Provide the amount in USD.

(A) AUM of your organisation, including subsidiaries	US\$ 1,530,000,000.00
(C) AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research advisory only	US\$ 0.00



## Asset breakdown

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a percentage breakdown of your total assets under management at the end of your indicated reporting year.

	Percentage of AUM
(A) Listed equity – internal	0.0%
(B) Listed equity – external	0.0%
(C) Fixed income – internal	0.0%
(D) Fixed income – external	0.0%
(E) Private equity – internal	0.0%
(F) Private equity – external	0.0%
(G) Real estate – internal	0.0%
(H) Real estate – external	0.0%
(I) Infrastructure – internal	>75%
(J) Infrastructure – external	0.0%
(K) Hedge funds – internal	0.0%
(L) Hedge funds – external	0.0%
(M) Forestry – internal	0.0%
(N) Forestry – external	0.0%
(O) Farmland – internal	0.0%

(P) Farmland – external	0.0%
(Q) Other – internal, please specify:	0.0%
(R) Other – external, please specify:	0.0%
(S) Off-balance sheet – internal	0.0%
(T) Off-balance sheet – external	0.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.2 INF	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your infrastructure assets.

(A) Internal allocation

(1) Data infrastructure	0.0%
(2) Energy and water resources	0.0%
(3) Environmental services	0.0%
(4) Network utilities	50-75%
(5) Power generation (excl. renewables)	0.0%
(6) Renewable power	10-50%
(7) Social infrastructure	0-10%
(8) Transport	0-10%
(9) Other, please specify:	0.0%

# Stewardship

## Private equity, real estate and infrastructure

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9 ALT	CORE	OO 5	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Private equity, real estate and infrastructure	2

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities in the following asset classes?

### (3) Infrastructure

(A) Through service providers	<input type="checkbox"/>
(C) Through internal staff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) Collaboratively	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(E) We did not conduct stewardship activities for this asset class	<input type="checkbox"/>

# ESG incorporation

## Internally managed assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 10	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Internally managed assets	1

For each internally managed asset class, select whether or not you incorporate ESG into your investment decisions.

(1) ESG incorporated into investment decisions

(2) ESG not incorporated into investment decisions

(L) Infrastructure



# Voluntary reporting

## Voluntary modules

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 14	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Voluntary modules	GENERAL

The following modules are mandatory to report on as they account for 10% or more of your total AUM or are over USD 10 billion. The ISP (Investment and Stewardship Policy) module is always applicable for reporting.

(1) Yes, report on the module

ISP: Investment and Stewardship Policy



(H) Infrastructure



# ESG/sustainability funds and products

## Labelling and marketing

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 16	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Labelling and marketing	GENERAL

What percentage of your assets under management in each asset class are ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products, and/or ESG/RI certified or labelled assets? Percentage figures can be rounded to the nearest 5% and should combine internally and externally managed assets.

Percentage

(G) Infrastructure

>75%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 16.1	CORE	OO 16	ISP 52	PUBLIC	Labelling and marketing	GENERAL

What percentage of your total assets (per asset class) carry a formal ESG/RI certification or label? Percentage figures can be rounded to the nearest 5%.

Coverage of ESG/RI certification or label:

(E) Infrastructure

0.0%

# Climate investments

## Asset breakdown

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 17	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

What percentage of your assets under management is in targeted low-carbon or climate-resilient investments?

| >75%

## Other asset breakdowns

### Geographical breakdown

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 18	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Geographical breakdown	GENERAL

What is the geographical breakdown of your organisation's assets under management by investment destination (i.e. where the investments are located)?

(8) Infrastructure

(A) Developed	>75%
(B) Emerging	0.0%
(C) Frontier	0.0%
(D) Other	0.0%

## Infrastructure: Fund strategy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 27	CORE	OO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Infrastructure: Fund strategy	GENERAL

What is the percentage breakdown of your organisation's infrastructure investments by fund type?

(A) Open-ended (1) 0%

(B) Closed-ended (5) >75%

## Infrastructure: Nature of ownership

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 28	CORE	OO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Infrastructure: Nature of ownership	GENERAL

What is the percentage breakdown of your organisation's infrastructure assets by investment vehicle?

(A) Direct investment (5) >75%

(B) Limited liability company or partnership (1) 0%

(C) Joint venture (JV) with a government entity (1) 0%

(D) Joint venture (JV) with a private entity (1) 0%

(E) Joint venture (JV) with a public entity (1) 0%

(F) Separate account (1) 0%

(G) Special purpose vehicle (1) 0%

## Infrastructure: Ownership level

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 29	CORE	OO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Infrastructure: Ownership level	GENERAL

What is the percentage breakdown of your organisation's infrastructure assets by level of ownership?

(A) A majority stake (50% and above) (3) 11–50%

(B) A significant minority stake (between 10–50%) (4) 51–75%

(C) A limited minority stake (less than 10%) (1) 0%

## Infrastructure: Strategy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 30	CORE	OO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Infrastructure: Strategy	GENERAL

What is the percentage breakdown of your organisation's internally managed infrastructure assets by investment strategy?

Percentage of total internally managed infrastructure AUM

(A) Core >75%

(B) Value added 0.0%

(C) Opportunistic 0.0%



## Infrastructure: Type of asset

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 31	CORE	OO 5	INF 1	PUBLIC	Infrastructure: Type of asset	GENERAL

What is the percentage breakdown of your infrastructure assets by strategy?

Percentage of total internally managed infrastructure AUM

(A) Standing investments/operating assets	>75%
(B) New construction	25-50%
(C) Major renovation	0.0%

## Infrastructure: Management type

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 32	CORE	OO 5	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Infrastructure: Management type	GENERAL

What is the percentage breakdown of your direct infrastructure assets based on who manages these assets?

(A) Directly by our organisation	(5) >75%
(B) By third party infrastructure operators that we appoint	(1) 0%
(C) By other investors or their third party operators	(1) 0%
(D) By public or government entities or their third party operators	(1) 0%

# Investment and Stewardship Policy (ISP)

## Responsible investment policy & governance

### Responsible investment policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 1	CORE	N/A	ISP 1.1, ISP 1.2	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

Does your organisation have a formal policy or policies covering your approach to responsible investment? Your approach to responsible investment may be set out in a standalone guideline, covered in multiple standalone guidelines or be part of a broader investment policy. Your policy may cover various responsible investment elements such as stewardship, ESG guidelines, sustainability outcomes, specific climate-related guidelines, RI governance and similar.

- (A) Yes, we do have a policy covering our approach to responsible investment
- (B) No, we do not have a policy covering our approach to responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 1.1	CORE	ISP 1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

What elements does your responsible investment policy cover? The responsible investment elements may be set out in one or multiple standalone guidelines, or they may be part of a broader investment policy.

- (A) Overall approach to responsible investment
- (B) Guidelines on environmental factors
- (C) Guidelines on social factors
- (D) Guidelines on governance factors
- (E) Approach to stewardship
- (F) Approach to sustainability outcomes
- (G) Approach to exclusions
- (H) Asset class-specific guidelines that describe how ESG incorporation is implemented
- (I) Definition of responsible investment and how it relates to our fiduciary duty
- (J) Definition of responsible investment and how it relates to our investment objectives
- (K) Responsible investment governance structure

- (L) Internal reporting and verification related to responsible investment
- (M) External reporting related to responsible investment
- (N) Managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment
- (O) Other responsible investment aspects not listed here, please specify:

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 2	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	6

Indicate which of your responsible investment policy elements are publicly available and provide links.

- (A) Overall approach to responsible investment. Add link(s):
- (B) Guidelines on environmental factors. Add link(s):
- (C) Guidelines on social factors. Add link(s):
- (D) Guidelines on governance factors. Add link(s):
- (G) Approach to exclusions. Add link(s):
- (I) Definition of responsible investment and how it relates to our fiduciary duty. Add link(s):
- (J) Definition of responsible investment and how it relates to our investment objectives. Add link(s):
- (K) Responsible investment governance structure. Add link(s):
- (P) Our responsible investment policy elements are not publicly available

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 3	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

What percentage of your total assets under management are covered by your policy elements on overall approach to responsible investment and/or guidelines on environmental, social and governance factors?

- (A) Overall approach to responsible investment
- (B) Guidelines on environmental factors
- (C) Guidelines on social factors
- (D) Guidelines on governance factors

AUM coverage of all policy elements in total:

>75%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 4	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

Which elements does your exclusion policy include?

- (A) Legally required exclusions (e.g. those required by domestic/international law, bans, treaties or embargoes)
- (B) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs (e.g. regarding weapons, alcohol, tobacco and/or avoiding other particular sectors, products, services or regions)
- (C) Exclusions based on screening against minimum standards of business practice based on international norms (e.g. OECD guidelines, the UN Human Rights Declaration, Security Council sanctions or the UN Global Compact)

## Governance

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 6	CORE	N/A	ISP 8	PUBLIC	Governance	1

Do your organisation's board, chief-level staff, investment committee and/or head of department have formal oversight and accountability for responsible investment?

- (A) Board and/or trustees
- (B) Chief-level staff (e.g. Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO) or Chief Operating Officer (COO))
- (C) Investment committee
- (D) Other chief-level staff, please specify:
- (E) Head of department, please specify department:
  - Head of Sustainability
- (F) None of the above roles have oversight and accountability for responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 7	CORE	N/A	ISP 8	PUBLIC	Governance	1

In your organisation, which internal or external roles have responsibility for implementing responsible investment?

- (A) Board and/or trustees
- (B) Chief-level staff (e.g. Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO) or Chief Operating Officer (COO))
- (C) Investment committee
- (D) Other chief-level staff [as specified]
- (E) Head of department [as specified]
- (F) Portfolio managers
- (G) Investment analysts
- (H) Dedicated responsible investment staff
- (I) Investor relations
- (J) External managers or service providers
- (K) Other role, please specify:
  - ESG committee
- (L) Other role, please specify:
- (M) We do not have roles with responsibility for implementing responsible investment.

## People and capabilities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 8	CORE	ISP 6, ISP 7	ISP 8.1, ISP 8.2	PUBLIC	People and capabilities	General

What formal objectives for responsible investment do the roles in your organisation have?

	(1) Board and/or trustees	(2) Chief-level staff	(3) Investment committee	(5) Head of department [as specified]
(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) Objective for ESG performance	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(E) Other objective related to responsible investment [as specified]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(F) Other objective related to responsible investment [as specified]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(G) No formal objectives for responsible investment exist for this role	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

	(6) Portfolio managers	(7) Investment analysts	(9) Investor relations	(11) Other role
(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) Objective for ESG performance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(E) Other objective related to responsible investment [as specified]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(F) Other objective related to responsible investment [as specified]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(G) No formal objectives for responsible investment exist for this role	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Please specify for "(E) Other objective related to responsible investment".

The Infranode ESG committee has a wider objective in terms ESG policy development and its integration within Infranode and investee companies' policies and procedures.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 8.2	CORE	ISP 8	N/A	PUBLIC	People and capabilities	General

Which responsible investment objectives are linked to variable compensation for roles in your organisation?

RI objectives linked to variable compensation for roles in your organisation:

**(1) Board and/or trustees**

(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach

**(2) Chief-level staff (e.g. Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO) or Chief Operating Officer (COO))**

(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities

(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach

(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)

(D) Objective for ESG performance

**(3) Investment committee**

(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities



**(5) Head of department**

---

(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities

---

(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach

---

(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)

---

(D) Objective for ESG performance

---

**(6) Portfolio managers**

---

(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach

---

(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)

---

(D) Objective for ESG performance

---

**(7) Investment analysts**

---

(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities

---

(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach

---

**(9) Investor relations**

---

(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach

---

(D) Objective for ESG performance

---

(11) Other role

(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities	<input type="checkbox"/>
(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach	<input type="checkbox"/>
(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)	<input type="checkbox"/>
(D) Objective for ESG performance	<input type="checkbox"/>
(E) Other objective related to responsible investment (as specified in ISP 8 option E)	<input type="checkbox"/>
(G) We have not linked any RI objectives to variable compensation	<input type="checkbox"/>

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 9	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	People and capabilities	General

How frequently does your organisation assess the responsible investment capabilities and training needs among your investment professionals?

- (A) Quarterly or more frequently
- (B) Bi-annually
- (C) Annually
- (D) Less frequently than annually
- (E) On an ad hoc basis
- (F) We do not have a process for assessing the responsible investment capabilities and training needs among our investment professionals

## Strategic asset allocation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 10	CORE	N/A	ISP 10.1	PUBLIC	Strategic asset allocation	1

Does your organisation incorporate ESG factors into your strategic asset allocation?

- (A) We incorporate ESG factors into calculations for expected risks and returns of asset classes
- (B) We specifically incorporate physical, transition and regulatory changes related to climate change into calculations for expected risks and returns of asset classes
- (C) No, we do not incorporate ESG considerations into our strategic asset allocation
- (D) Not applicable, we do not have a strategic asset allocation process

## Climate change

### Public support

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 26	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Public support	General

Does your organisation publicly support the Paris Agreement?

(A) Yes, we publicly support the Paris Agreement Add link(s) to webpage or other public document/text expressing support for the Paris Agreement:

<https://infranode.eu/sustainability-2/>

(B) No, we currently do not publicly support the Paris Agreement

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 27	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Public support	General

Does your organisation publicly support the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures (TCFD)?

(A) Yes, we publicly support the TCFD Add link(s) to webpage or other public document/text expressing support for the TCFD:

<https://infranode.eu/sustainability-2/>

(B) No, we currently do not publicly support the TCFD

## Governance

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 28	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Governance	General

How does the board or the equivalent function exercise oversight over climate-related risks and opportunities?

(A) By establishing internal processes through which the board or the equivalent function are informed about climate-related risks and opportunities. Specify:

(B) By articulating internal/external roles and responsibilities related to climate. Specify:

Infranode ESG committee lead by the Head of Sustainability has the responsibility to assess and evaluate climate-related risks and opportunities.

(C) By engaging with beneficiaries to understand how their preferences are evolving with regard to climate change. Specify:

Regular discussions with LPs and other key stakeholders such as NGOs and government organizations working with climate related initiatives to understand preferences and sharing of best practice.

(D) By incorporating climate change into investment beliefs and policies. Specify:

Climate related strategic objectives are Included in the ESG policy that is reviewed and approved by the board of Infranode AB.

(E) By monitoring progress on climate-related metrics and targets. Specify:

(F) By defining the link between fiduciary duty and climate risks and opportunities. Specify:

Definition included in the ESG policy published on the website

(G) Other measures to exercise oversight, please specify:

(H) The board or the equivalent function does not exercise oversight over climate-related risks and opportunities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 29	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Governance	General

What is the role of management in assessing and managing climate-related risks and opportunities?

(A) Management is responsible for identifying climate-related risks/opportunities and reporting them back to the board or the equivalent function. Specify:

(B) Management implements the agreed-upon risk management measures. Specify:

The ESG policy, including climate related objectives and guidelines, is implemented through ESG committee and Head of Sustainability decision making supported by asset managers and other relevant business functions.

(C) Management monitors and reports on climate-related risks and opportunities. Specify:

(D) Management ensures adequate resources, including staff, training and budget, are available to assess, implement and monitor climate-related risks/opportunities and measures. Specify:

ESG committee consisting of members from senior management, investments, asset management, IR, legal and finance as well as senior advisers are handling policy documents, manager and fund level objectives etc. related to climate related risks and opportunities. The day to day work is handled by Head of Sustainability with support from the different business functions to ensure full integration.

(E) Other roles management takes on to assess and manage climate-related risks/opportunities, please specify:

(F) Our management does not have responsibility for assessing and managing climate-related risks and opportunities

## Strategy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 30	CORE	N/A	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Strategy	General

Which climate-related risks and opportunities has your organisation identified within its investment time horizon(s)?

(A) Specific financial risks in different asset classes. Specify:

Not an exhaustive list but a few examples are: Relevant climate-related business risks that translate into specific financial risks for our portfolios are for example: Energy volume risk related to warmer climate and higher resource efficiency driving down delivered volumes to customers that results in lower revenues and hence deteriorating returns over time. Regulatory capex needs to handled e.g. emissions can result in decreased cash flows and hence ability to distribute dividend and/or pay loan costs thereby adversely impacting returns. Operational costs in relation to increased management capabilities to handle current and future climate-related risks stemming from e.g. regulation and/or public opinion to ensure license to operate, which is putting pressure on profitability and eventually returns.

(B) Specific sectors and/or assets that are at risk of being stranded. Specify:

Not an exhaustive list but a few examples are: Coal fired power plants and other industries that uses coal as its main source of revenue generator are probably likely to get stranded over time as regulation gets tighter and countries try to covert its energy systems.

(C) Assets with exposure to direct physical climate risk. Specify:

Not an exhaustive list but a few examples are: Real estate assets located in close proximity to oceans are exposed to flooding risks. Ports are exposed to risk of flooding in extreme weather events and/or sea level rise and need to ensure appropriate resilience measures are put in place. Electricity distribution companies need to increase underground cabling rate to secure security of supply in the distribution network in the event of extreme weather, which can cause severe impact on traditional hanging cables. Poor precipitation could put hydro power plants in a situation where reservoirs are not filled up as they normally do and hence decrease ability to both deliver electricity but also to provide balance to the electricity distribution grid.

(D) Assets with exposure to indirect physical climate risk. Specify:

Not an exhaustive list but a few examples are: Real estate assets that gets its electricity from the grid needs to ensure it can sustain a long-term outage due to extreme weather events. More extreme weather events combined with a more weather dependent energy system, wind and solar, put a lot of assets at risk that require reliable electricity delivery to sustain production / business activity. Such asset are e.g. district heating plants, port operators with electrical cranes that need constant electricity supply and health care facilities that always need to be able to operate.

(E) Specific sectors and/or assets that are likely to benefit under a range of climate scenarios. Specify:

Not an exhaustive list but a few examples are: Electricity distribution companies are likely to benefit from the energy transition that will require even more investments in new grid infrastructure, hence providing additional revenue and profit for these companies (depending on regulatory framework setup). Companies that are able to produce carbon neutral products to currently high emitting industries, e.g. renewable energy based district heating to industrial process companies.

(F) Specific sectors and/or assets that contribute significantly to achieving our climate goals. Specify:

Not an exhaustive list but a few examples are: Renewable energy assets such as solar, wind and district heating are important investment opportunities that also support a more sustainable and climate friendly future in accordance with our policy.

(G) Other climate-related risks and opportunities identified. Specify:

(H) We have not identified specific climate-related risks and opportunities within our organisation's investment time horizon

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 30.1	CORE	ISP 30	N/A	PUBLIC	Strategy	General

For each of the identified climate-related risks and opportunities, indicate within which investment time-horizon they were identified.

	(1) 3–5 months	(2) 6 months to 2 years	(3) 2–4 years	(4) 5–10 years
(A) Specific financial risks in different asset classes [as specified]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) Specific sectors and/or assets that are at risk of being stranded [as specified]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) Assets with exposure to direct physical climate risk [as specified]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) Assets with exposure to indirect physical climate risk [as specified]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(E) Specific sectors and/or assets that are likely to benefit under a range of climate scenarios [as specified]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(F) Specific sectors and/or assets that contribute significantly to achieving our climate goals [as specified]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	(5) 11–20 years	(6) 21–30 years	(7) >30 years	
(A) Specific financial risks in different asset classes [as specified]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

(B) Specific sectors and/or assets that are at risk of being stranded [as specified]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) Assets with exposure to direct physical climate risk [as specified]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) Assets with exposure to indirect physical climate risk [as specified]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(E) Specific sectors and/or assets that are likely to benefit under a range of climate scenarios [as specified]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(F) Specific sectors and/or assets that contribute significantly to achieving our climate goals [as specified]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 31	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Strategy	General

Which climate-related risks and opportunities has your organisation identified beyond its investment time horizon(s)?

- (A) Specific financial risks in different asset classes. Specify:  
Given our 25 year investment horizon, we see similar climate-related risks and opportunities within the investment time horizon as beyond it.
- (B) Specific sectors and/or assets that are at risk of being stranded. Specify:  
Given our 25 year investment horizon, we see similar climate-related risks and opportunities within the investment time horizon as beyond it.
- (C) Assets with exposure to direct physical climate risk. Specify:  
Given our 25 year investment horizon, we see similar climate-related risks and opportunities within the investment time horizon as beyond it.
- (D) Assets with exposure to indirect physical climate risk. Specify:  
Given our 25 year investment horizon, we see very similar climate-related risks and opportunities within the investment time horizon as beyond it.
- (E) Specific sectors and/or assets that are likely to benefit under a range of climate scenarios. Specify:



Given our 25 year investment horizon, we see similar climate-related risks and opportunities within the investment time horizon as beyond it.

(F) Specific sectors and/or assets that contribute significantly to achieving our climate goals. Specify:

Given our 25 year investment horizon, we see similar climate-related risks and opportunities within the investment time horizon as beyond it.

(G) Other climate-related risks and opportunities identified, please specify:

(H) We have not identified specific climate-related risks and opportunities beyond our organisation's investment time horizon

## Strategy: Scenario analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 33	CORE	N/A	ISP 33.1	PUBLIC	Strategy: Scenario analysis	General

Does your organisation use scenario analysis to assess climate-related investment risks and opportunities? Select the range of scenarios used.

(A) An orderly transition to a 2°C or lower scenario

(B) An abrupt transition consistent with the Inevitable Policy Response

(C) A failure to transition, based on a 4°C or higher scenario

(D) Other climate scenario, specify:

As part of our investment process we conduct climate related assessments related to for example regulatory changes, general increase in resource efficiency in society, demand-side perspectives and long-term weather patterns such as temperature rise to understand the impact it has on business valuation and financial returns.

(E) We do not use scenario analysis to assess climate-related investment risks and opportunities

# Sustainability outcomes

## Identify sustainability outcomes

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 43	CORE	N/A	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Identify sustainability outcomes	1

Has your organisation identified the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes from any of its activities?

- (A) No, we have not identified the sustainability outcomes from our activities
- (B) Yes, we have identified one or more sustainability outcomes from some or all of our activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 44	CORE	ISP 43	ISP 44.1	PUBLIC	Identify sustainability outcomes	1

What frameworks/tools did your organisation use to identify the sustainability outcomes from its activities? Indicate the tools or frameworks you have used to identify and map some or all of your sustainability outcomes.

- (A) The UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets
- (B) The Paris Agreement
- (C) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs)
- (D) The OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, including guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors
- (E) The EU Taxonomy
- (F) Other taxonomies (e.g. similar to the EU Taxonomy), please specify:
- (G) Other framework/tool, please specify:
  - Our internally developed ESG tool
- (H) Other framework/tool, please specify:
  - GRESB framework assessment
- (I) Other framework/tool, please specify:

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 44.1	CORE	ISP 44	N/A	PUBLIC	Identify sustainability outcomes	1

At what level(s) did your organisation identify the sustainability outcomes from its activities?

- (A) At the asset level
- (B) At the economic activity level
- (C) At the company level
- (D) At the sector level
- (E) At the country/region level
- (F) At the global level
- (G) Other level(s), please specify:
- (H) We do not track at what level(s) our sustainability outcomes were identified

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 45	CORE	ISP 43	SO 1	PUBLIC	Identify sustainability outcomes	1

How has your organisation determined your most important sustainability outcome objectives?

- (A) Identifying sustainability outcomes that are closely linked to our core investment activities
- (B) Consulting with key clients and/or beneficiaries to align with their priorities
- (C) Assessing the potential severity (e.g. probability and amplitude) of specific negative outcomes over different timeframes
- (D) Focusing on the potential for systemic impacts (e.g. due to high level of interconnectedness with other global challenges)
- (E) Evaluating the potential for certain outcome objectives to act as a catalyst/enabler to achieve a broad range of goals (e.g. gender or education)
- (F) Analysing the input from different stakeholders (e.g. affected communities, civil society or similar)
- (G) Understanding the geographical relevance of specific sustainability outcome objectives
- (H) Other method, please specify:
- (I) We have not yet determined our most important sustainability outcome objectives

# Transparency & Confidence-Building Measures

## Information disclosed – ESG assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 46	CORE	OO 16	N/A	PUBLIC	Information disclosed – ESG assets	6

For the majority of your ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products, and/or your ESG/RI certified or labelled assets, what information about your ESG approach do you (or the external investment managers/service providers acting on your behalf) include in material shared with clients, beneficiaries and/or the public? The material may be marketing material, information targeted towards existing or prospective clients or information for beneficiaries.

- (A) A commitment to responsible investment (e.g. that we are a PRI signatory)
- (B) Industry-specific and asset class-specific standards that we align with (e.g. TCFD, or GRESB for property and infrastructure)
- (C) Our responsible investment policy (at minimum a summary of our high-level approach)
- (D) A description of our investment process and how ESG is considered
- (E) ESG objectives of individual funds
- (F) Information about the ESG benchmark(s) that we use to measure fund performance
- (G) Our stewardship approach
- (H) A description of the ESG criteria applied (e.g. sectors, products, activities, ratings and similar)
- (I) The thresholds for the ESG criteria applied in our investment decisions or universe construction
- (J) A list of our main investments and holdings
- (K) ESG case study/example from existing fund(s)
- (L) We do not include our approach to ESG in material shared with clients/beneficiaries/the public for the majority of our ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products, and/or our ESG/RI certified or labelled assets

## Client reporting – ESG assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 48	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Client reporting – ESG assets	6

What ESG information is included in your client reporting for the majority of your ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products, and/or your ESG/RI certified or labelled assets?

- (A) Qualitative analysis, descriptive examples or case studies
- (B) Quantitative analysis or key performance indicators (KPIs) related to ESG performance
- (C) Progress on our sustainability outcome objectives
- (D) Stewardship results
- (E) Information on ESG incidents, where applicable
- (F) Analysis of ESG contribution to portfolio financial performance
- (G) We do not include ESG information in client reporting for the majority of our ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products, and/or our ESG/RI certified or labelled assets

## Information disclosed – All assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 49	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Information disclosed – All assets	6

For the majority of your total assets under management, what information about your ESG approach do you (or the external managers/service providers acting on your behalf) include in material shared with clients, beneficiaries and/or the public? The material may be marketing material, information targeted towards existing or prospective clients or information for beneficiaries.

- (A) A commitment to responsible investment (e.g. that we are a PRI signatory)
- (B) Industry-specific and asset class-specific standards that we align with (e.g. TCFD, or GRESB for property and infrastructure)
- (C) Our responsible investment policy (at minimum a summary of our high-level approach)
- (D) A description of our investment process and how ESG is considered
- (E) ESG objectives of individual funds
- (F) Information about the ESG benchmark(s) that we use to measure fund performance
- (G) Our stewardship approach
- (H) A description of the ESG criteria applied (e.g. sectors, products, activities, ratings and similar)
- (I) The thresholds for the ESG criteria applied in our investment decisions or universe construction
- (J) A list of our main investments and holdings

(K) ESG case study/example from existing fund(s)

(L) We do not include our approach to ESG in material shared with clients/beneficiaries/the public for the majority of our assets under management

## Client reporting – All assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 50	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Client reporting – All assets	6

What ESG information is included in your client reporting for the majority of your assets under management?

(A) Qualitative ESG analysis, descriptive examples or case studies

(B) Quantitative analysis or key performance indicators (KPIs) related to ESG performance

(C) Progress on our sustainability outcome objectives

(D) Stewardship results

(E) Information on ESG incidents where applicable

(F) Analysis of ESG contribution to portfolio financial performance

(G) We do not include ESG information in client reporting for the majority of our assets under management

## Frequency of client reporting – All assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 51	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Frequency of client reporting – All assets	6

For the majority of each asset class, how frequently do you report ESG-related information to your clients?

(E) Infrastructure

(1) Quarterly

## Confidence-building measures

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 52	CORE	OO 16.1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Confidence-building measures	6

What verification has your organisation had regarding the information you have provided in your PRI Transparency Report this year?

- (A) We received third-party independent assurance of selected processes and/or data related to our responsible investment processes, which resulted in a formal assurance conclusion
- (B) We conducted a third-party readiness review and are making changes to our internal controls/governance or processes to be able to conduct an external assurance next year
- (C) The internal audit function team performed an independent audit of selected processes/and or data related to our responsible investment processes reported in this PRI report
- (D) Our board, CEO, other C-level equivalent and/or investment committee has signed off on our PRI report
- (F) We conducted an external ESG audit of our ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products (excluding ESG/RI certified or labelled assets)
- (G) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings to check that our funds comply with our RI policy (e.g. exclusion list or investee companies in portfolio above certain ESG rating)
- (H) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings as part of risk management, engagement identification or investment decision-making
- (I) Responses related to our RI practices documented in this report have been internally reviewed before submission to the PRI
- (J) None of the above

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 58	CORE	ISP 52	N/A	PUBLIC	Confidence-building measures	6

Who has reviewed/verified the entirety of or selected data from your PRI report?

(A) Board and/or trustees	(4) report not reviewed
(B) Chief-level staff (e.g. Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO) or Chief Operating Officer (COO))	(2) most of the report
(C) Investment committee	(4) report not reviewed

(D) Other chief-level staff, please specify:	None	(4) report not reviewed
(E) Head of department, please specify:	Head of Sustainability	(1) the entire report
(F) Compliance/risk management team		(4) report not reviewed
(G) Legal team		(4) report not reviewed
(H) RI/ ESG team		(1) the entire report
(I) Investment teams		(2) most of the report

# Infrastructure (INF)

## Policy

### Investment guidelines

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 1	CORE	OO 31, OO 32	N/A	PUBLIC	Investment guidelines	1 to 6

What infrastructure-specific ESG guidelines are currently covered in your organisation's responsible investment policies?

- (A) Guidelines on how we adapt our ESG approach for each infrastructure sector we invest in
- (B) Guidelines on our ESG approach to new construction
- (C) Guidelines on our ESG approach to standing investments or operating assets
- (D) Guidelines on our engagement approach related to workforce
- (F) Guidelines on our engagement approach related to contractors
- (G) Guidelines on our engagement approach related to other external stakeholders (e.g. government, local communities and end-users)
- (H) Our policies do not cover infrastructure-specific ESG guidelines



# Fundraising

## Commitments to investors

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 2	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Commitments to investors	1, 4

For all of your funds that you closed during the reporting year, what type of formal responsible investment commitments did you make in Limited Partnership Agreements (LPAs) or side letters? (If you did not close any funds during this reporting year, refer to the last reporting year in which you did close funds.)

- (A) We incorporated responsible investment commitments in LPAs as a standard, default procedure
- (B) We added responsible investment commitments in LPAs upon client request
- (C) We added responsible investment commitments in side letters upon client request
- (D) We did not make any formal responsible investment commitments for the relevant reporting year
- (E) Not applicable as we have never raised funds
- (F) Not applicable as we have not raised funds in the last 5 years

## Pre-investment phase

### Materiality analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 3	CORE	N/A	INF 3.1	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

During the reporting year, how did you conduct ESG materiality analysis for your potential infrastructure investments?

(A) We assessed materiality at the asset level, as each case is unique (1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments

(B) We performed a mix of industry-level and asset-level materiality analysis (4) for none of our potential infrastructure investments

(C) We assessed materiality at the industry level only (4) for none of our potential infrastructure investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 3.1	CORE	INF 3	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

During the reporting year, what tools, standards and data did you use in your ESG materiality analysis of potential infrastructure investments?

- (A) We used GRI Standards to inform our infrastructure materiality analysis
- (B) We used SASB to inform our infrastructure materiality analysis
- (C) We used GRESB Materiality Assessment (RC7) or similar in our infrastructure materiality analysis
- (D) We used environmental and social factors detailed in the IFC Performance Standards (or other similar standards) in our infrastructure materiality analysis
- (E) We used climate risk disclosures such as the TCFD recommendations (or other climate risk analysis tools) to inform our infrastructure materiality analysis
- (F) We used geopolitical and macro-economic considerations in our infrastructure materiality analysis
- (G) Other, please specify:  
in addition we always utilize industry specific best practice together with expert consultants depending on sector in which the potential investment is active in. This is critical in order to get an accurate assessment as a district heating company and a port operator are exposed to different ESG related risks and opportunities.

## Due diligence

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 4	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Due diligence	1

During the reporting year, how did ESG factors affect the selection of your infrastructure investments?

(A) ESG factors helped identify risks	(1) for all of our infrastructure investments selected
(B) ESG factors were discussed by the investment committee (or equivalent)	(1) for all of our infrastructure investments selected
(C) ESG factors helped identify remedial actions for our 100-day plans (or equivalent)	(1) for all of our infrastructure investments selected
(D) ESG factors helped identify opportunities for value creation	(1) for all of our infrastructure investments selected
(E) ESG factors led to the abandonment of potential investments	(3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments selected

(F) ESG factors impacted investments in terms of price offered and/or paid by having an effect on revenue assumptions	(3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments selected
(G) ESG factors impacted investments in terms of price offered and/or paid by having an effect on CAPEX assumptions	(2) for the majority of our infrastructure investments selected
(H) ESG factors impacted investments in terms of price offered and/or paid by having an effect on OPEX assumptions	(3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments selected
(I) ESG factors impacted investments in terms of price offered and/or paid by having an effect on the cost of capital or discount rate assumptions	(4) for none of our infrastructure investments selected
(J) Other, please specify: N/A	(4) for none of our infrastructure investments selected

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 5	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Due diligence	1

Once material ESG factors have been identified, what processes do you use to conduct due diligence on these factors for potential investments?

(A) We do a high-level/desktop review against an ESG checklist for initial red flags	(1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments
(B) We send detailed ESG questionnaires to target assets	(1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments
(C) We hire third-party consultants to do technical due diligence on specific issues	(1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments
(D) We conduct site visits and in-depth interviews with management and personnel	(1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments
(E) We incorporate actions based on the risks and opportunities identified in the due diligence process into the 100-day plan (or equivalent)	(1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments

(F) We incorporate ESG due diligence findings in all of our relevant investment process documentation in the same manner as for other key due diligence (e.g. commercial, accounting and legal)

(1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments

(G) Our investment committee (or an equivalent decision-making body) is ultimately responsible for ensuring all ESG due diligence is completed in the same manner as for other key due diligence (e.g. commercial, accounting and legal)

(1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments

(H) Other, please specify:

N/A

(4) for none of our potential infrastructure investments

## Post-investment phase

### Monitoring

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 9	CORE	N/A	INF 9.1	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1

During the reporting year, did you track one or more core ESG KPIs across all your infrastructure investments?

- (A) Yes, we tracked environmental KPIs
- (B) Yes, we tracked social KPIs
- (C) Yes, we tracked governance KPIs
- (D) We did not track ESG KPIs across our infrastructure investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 10	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1

For the majority of the core KPIs that you tracked, how did you set targets across your infrastructure investments?

- (A) We set targets to achieve incremental improvements based on past performance
- (B) We set targets using industry benchmarks or standards
- (C) We set targets against global benchmarks or thresholds (e.g. on climate change and/or the SDGs)
- (D) We did not set targets for the core ESG KPIs that we track
- (E) We did not set targets as we don't track core ESG KPIs

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 11	CORE	OO 32	INF 11.1	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1,2

What processes do you have in place to support meeting your ESG targets for your infrastructure investments?

(A) We use operational-level benchmarks to assess and analyse the performance of assets against sector performance	2/ For the majority of our infrastructure investments
(B) We implement international best practice standards such as the IFC Performance Standards to guide ongoing assessment and analysis	2/ For the majority of our infrastructure investments
(C) We implement certified environmental and social management systems across our portfolio	2/ For the majority of our infrastructure investments
(D) We make sufficient budget available to ensure that the systems and procedures needed to achieve the target are put in place	2/ For the majority of our infrastructure investments
(E) We hire external verification services to audit performance, systems and procedures	2/ For the majority of our infrastructure investments
(G) We develop minimum health and safety standards	2/ For the majority of our infrastructure investments
(H) We conduct ongoing engagement with all key stakeholders (e.g. local communities, NGOs, governments and end-users)	3/ For a minority of our infrastructure investments
(I) Other, please specify: N/A	4/ For none of our infrastructure investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 12	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

Post-investment, how do you manage material ESG-related risks and opportunities to create value during the holding period of your investments?

(A) We develop company-specific ESG action plans based on pre-investment research, due diligence and materiality findings	(1) for all of our infrastructure investments
(B) We adjust our ESG action plans regularly based on performance monitoring findings	(1) for all of our infrastructure investments
(C) We hire external advisors to provide support with specific ESG value creation opportunities	(1) for all of our infrastructure investments
(D) Other, please specify: N/A	(4) for none of our infrastructure investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 14	CORE	N/A	INF 14.1	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

How do you ensure that adequate ESG-related competence exists at the asset level?

(A) We assign the board responsibility for ESG matters	(1) for all of our infrastructure investments
(B) We mandate that material ESG matters are discussed by the board at least once a year	(1) for all of our infrastructure investments
(C) We provide training on ESG aspects and management best practices relevant to the asset to C-suite executives only	(4) for none of our infrastructure investments
(D) We provide training on ESG aspects and management best practices relevant to the asset to employees (excl. C-suite executives)	(4) for none of our infrastructure investments
(E) We support the asset in developing and implementing its ESG strategy	(1) for all of our infrastructure investments

(F) We support the asset by finding external ESG expertise (e.g. consultants or auditors)	(1) for all of our infrastructure investments
(G) We share best practices across assets (e.g. educational sessions, implementation of environmental and social management systems)	(1) for all of our infrastructure investments
(H) We include incentives to improve ESG performance in management remuneration schemes	(3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments
(I) Other, please specify: N/A	(4) for none of our infrastructure investments

## Exit

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 15	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Exit	4, 6

During the reporting year, what responsible investment information has your organisation shared with potential buyers of infrastructure investments?

(A) We shared our firm's high-level commitment to responsible investment (e.g. that we are a PRI signatory)	(1) for all of our infrastructure investments
(B) We shared a description of what industry and asset class standards our firm aligns with (e.g. TCFD or GRESB)	(1) for all of our infrastructure investments
(C) We shared our firm's responsible investment policy (at minimum, a summary of key aspects and firm-specific approach)	(1) for all of our infrastructure investments
(D) We shared our firm's ESG risk assessment methodology (topics covered, in-house and/or with external support)	(1) for all of our infrastructure investments
(E) We shared the outcome of our latest ESG risk assessment on the asset or portfolio company	(1) for all of our infrastructure investments
(F) We shared key ESG performance data on the asset or portfolio company being sold	(1) for all of our infrastructure investments
(G) Other, please specify: N/A	(4) for none of our infrastructure investments

# Reporting/Disclosure

## ESG portfolio information

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 16	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG portfolio information	6

During the reporting year, how did you report on core ESG data and targets to your investors or beneficiaries?

- (A) We reported in aggregate through a publicly disclosed sustainability report
- (B) We reported in aggregate through formal reporting to investors or beneficiaries
- (C) We reported on the asset level through formal reporting to investors or beneficiaries
- (D) We reported through a limited partners advisory committee (or equivalent)
- (E) We reported back at digital or physical events or meetings with investors or beneficiaries
- (F) We did adhoc or informal reporting on serious ESG incidents
- (G) Other, please specify:
- (H) We did not report on core ESG data and targets to our investors or beneficiaries during the reporting year